

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/130,593	08/07/1998	HERBERT GUST	P5007.7US 6479	
30008 7	7590 12/05/2002			
GUDRUN E. HUCKETT			EXAMINER	
LONSSTR. 53 WUPPERTAL, 42289		NOLAN, SANDRA M		
GERMANY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1772 DATE MAILED: 12/05/2002	W.

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)				
	09/130,593	GUST, HERBERT				
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
	Sandra M. Nolan	1772				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 C	October 2002 .					
<u> </u>	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	visional application has been rec	eived.				
Attachment(s)	- p					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
n Colonia de la Companya de la Compa						

Application/Control Number: 09/130,593

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on August 19, 2002 (Paper No. 19) has been entered.

Specification

2. The title of the invention is too descriptive. A new title is required that is shorter, but clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following title is suggested: "Components having Enhanced Adhesion between Parts".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The phrase "fillers and additives" is indefinite because fillers are additives. It is suggested that the words "fillers and" be deleted from the claim.



Application/Control Number: 09/130,593

Art Unit: 1772

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

loge

6. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Okumura et al (US 5,693,236).

Okumura teaches injection molded plastic objects (col. 5, lines 36+) having a composite layer made by etching to deposit fluorocarbon polymers thereon(col. 5, lines 11-20). The objects are water repellant (abstract).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to make objects having the surface characteristics of the Okumura objects by employing suitable etching techniques and materials to make objects useful for any desired purpose.

The motivation to make objects using the Okumura technology is found in the abstract, where the water repellency of the treated objects is taught.

It is deemed desirable to make objects water repellant in order to protect the underlying surface(s) from potentially deleterious effects of water and/or water soluble agents.

The phrase "plasma etch[ing] by a micro sand-blasting effect" is considered to be a process limitation, and is given no patentable weight in view of the similarity of the surface produced by Okumura to applicant's surface.

Art Unit: 1772

The selection of fluoropolymers and/or plastic surfaces to be employed in making the objects of Okumara is deemed a matter of engineering choice, depending upon the properties desired in the final product.

The shape/form of the object made using the Okumara technology is deemed a matter of design/engineering choice, depending upon the properties needed for a particular application.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to the Examiner, Sandra M. Nolan, whose telephone number is 703/308-9545. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, from 6:30 am to 4:00 pm, Eastern Time.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, her supervisor, Harold Pyon, can be reached at 703/308-4251. The general fax number for the art unit is 703/305-5436. The fax number for after final communications is 703/872-9310. The receptionist answers 703/308-0661.

S.M.Noln S.M.Nolan

Patent Examiner

Technology Center 1700

SMN/smn 09130593(25) December 2, 2002